```
ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Caroll Ohlinder
<ADDRESS1>3762 Gondar Ave
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Long Beach
<STATE>CA
<ZIP>90808
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>562 4293-124
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>caroll1110@cs.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Caroll Ohlinder
<ADDRESS1>3762 Gondar Ave
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Long Beach
<STATE>CA
<ZIP>90808
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>562 4293-124
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>caroll1110@cs.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Caroll Ohlinder
<ADDRESS1>3762 Gondar Ave
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Long Beach
<STATE>CA
<ZIP>90808
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>562 4293-124
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am a senior citizen on a fixed income and I am concerned that this
proposal could make my current service unaffordable.
```

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls (I made only two long distance calls last month) would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is totallyl unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. It remains in my car at all times. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair

share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.  $\,$ 

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Caroll Ohlinder 3762 Gondar Ave Long Beach, California 90808-2129